Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/890,860	DODD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Walter B. Aughenbaugh.	(3)	
(2) <u>Bryan H. Davidson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 August 2006	Time: ~3:30 PM	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 53, 55, 56 and 58-60		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner proposed Examiner's Amendments to place application in condition for allowance as detailed in attached Examiner's Amendment. Bryan H. Davidson authorized the amendments in a voice mail message left on August 3, 2006.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	ecord of the substance of the examiner will provide a written	interview, since the interview en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<u> </u>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	motive if any interest
(Applicant/	Applicants Representative 50	anature – II appropriate)